	Case 2:22-mc-00364-DAD-JDP Docume	ent 26 Filed 01/09/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MCCLATCHY COMPANY LLC,	No. 2:22-mc-00364-DB
12	Plaintiff,	New Case No. 2:22-mc-00364-DAD-JDP
13	V.	ORDER RELATING AND REASSIGNING CASE
14	ROBERT KELLY, et al.,	<u>6.162</u>
15	Defendants.	
16	ROBERT KELLY, et al.,	No. 2:22-cv-02283-KJN
17	Plaintiffs,	New Case No. 2:22-cv-02283-DAD-JDP
18	v.	
19	MCCLATCHY COMPANY LLC,	
20 21	Defendant.	
22		
23	A review of the dockets in the above-captioned cases reveals that they are related under	
24	this court's Local Rule 123(a) to the following action pending before the undersigned: <i>Eryn</i>	
25	Learned, et al. v. McClatchy Company LLC, 2:21-cv-01960-DAD-JDP. Accordingly, assignment	
26	of the above-captioned action to the undersigned and to Magistrate Judge Jeremy D. Peterson will	
27	promote efficiency and economy for the court and the parties.	
28		
		1

## Case 2:22-mc-00364-DAD-JDP Document 26 Filed 01/09/23 Page 2 of 2

An order relating cases under this court's Local Rule 123 merely assigns them to the same district judge and magistrate judge—it does not consolidate the cases. The local rules of this district authorize the judge with the lowest numbered case to order the reassignment of any higher numbered cases to himself or herself, upon determining that this assignment is likely to effect a savings of judicial effort. L.R. 123(c). Such good cause appearing here, the court orders that the above-captioned actions be reassigned to the undersigned and Magistrate Judge Jeremy D. Peterson and that they be related to the action listed above. The documents in the above-captioned actions shall bear the new case numbers above.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 9, 2023

LINITED STATES DISTRICT HIDGE